The role of memory on the individual differences in acquiring L2 pronunciation skills

Akiko Kondo

Hyogo University of Teacher Education

PronSIG at IATEFL

Outline



- 1. Individual differences in L2 pronunciation
- 2. Aptitude factors
- 3. My research: the role of phonological memory capacity
- 4. Pedagogical suggestions

Individual differences in L2 pronunciation



- Different learners show different levels of mastery of the target language
- Proficiency level of other language skills (vocabulary, grammar, reading, writing) can predict pronunciation skills?→ Not always...
- Pronunciation skills involve some other ability than IQ? → Probably yes...

Individual differences in L2 pronunciation



Age of onset

Linguistic differences

Personality

Gender

Motivation

Aptitude



Language aptitude (Caroll, 1981)

- Phonetic coding ability (PCA) : a capacity to code unfamiliar sound so that it can be retained over more than a few seconds and subsequently retrieved or recognized
- ✓ Grammatical sensitivity
- ✓ Rote learning ability
- ✓ Inductive language learning ability
- PCA → Germans' L2 pronunciation skills (Hu et al., 2013)

Perception ability

- Perception skills: prerequisite for the production of targeted language sounds (Flege, 2003; Hosomura, 2005).
- Perception skills → Production skills (Derwing, Munro, and Wiebe, 1997).

Perception ability: Age

- As people get older, their hearing ability becomes weaker (Okamoto, Shitara, Momiyama, Hirayama, & Ishii, 1989; Staiano, 2007; Tsuiki et al., 2002).
- Hearing loss starts during adolescence (Takahashi et al., 1996)



• Critical period hypothesis (Lenneberg, 1967; Scovel, 1988)





Perception ability: Passband

- <u>Passband</u>: the range of frequencies that a language predominantly uses.
- Different languages have different unique "passbands" (Murase, 1998).
 - ✓ English: 2,000 hertz ~ 12,000 hertz
 - ✓ Japanese: 125 hertz ~ 1,500 hertz

Perception and articulation ability: segmentals



Articulation ability

• Speech motor-control ability (Hu et al., 2013)





Musical ability

• Structural similarity between language and music, (Tanaka and Nakamura, 2004; Mora, 2000)



- Brain studies (Griffiths, Johnsrude, Dean, & Green, 1999; Schön et al., 2010)
- Musical ability and L2 pronunciation (Milovanov, Pietilä, Tervaniemi, and Esquef (2010) Slevc and Miyake (2006)



My Research

- 1. Effects of Verbal and Acoustic PSTM
- 2. Working memory vs. Motivation

Research 1



PSTM (Verbal and Acoustic) and L2 Pronunciation

The purpose of this study

To investigate the influence of phonological short-term memory capacity on Japanese EFL learners' L2(English) pronunciation skills.



Methods



Participants

- 156 Japanese EFL learners (18 23)
- Intermediate L2 proficiency

Instruments

- Verbal PSTM: L1-based digit memory span test, pseudoword memory span test
- Acoustic PSTM: Tonal memory span test, Rhythm memory span test
- L2 pronunciation: Word and sentence reproduction test

Summary of the results



RQ1

How much does <u>verbal</u> PSTM memory affect L2 pronunciation skills?

--> 25.3%

RQ2

How much does <u>acoustic</u> PSTM affect L2 pronunciation skills?

--> 21.3%

Research 2



Aptitude vs. Motivation

The purpose of this study

The purpose : to investigate the extent to which two different variables (L2 motivation and working memory capacity) explain the variance in L2 speaking skills by Japanese EFL learners (Kondo, 2021a).



Methods



Participants

- 111 Japanese EFL learners
- 18 26 years old

Instruments

- L2 Speaking Skills: Versant® Speaking Test
- L2 Motivation: Survey of English Learning Motivation
- Working Memory Capacity: L1-based Listening Span Test

Summary of the Results





 Focus of instruction: segmentals and suprasegmentals
 Teachers' native language
 Using authentic media
 Using computer software
 Teaching framework



Focus of instruction

Suprasegmentals

- Anderson-Hsieh, Johnson & Koehler (1992)
- Hahn (2004)
- Field, (2005)
- Van den Doel, (2006)



Focus of instruction —language combination

• L1 and the target language combination (Szpyra-Kozłowska & Radomski, 2012; Radomski & Szpyra-Kozłowska, 2014)









- Speech process pattern (Jenkins, 2000).
 - ✓ native speakers → top-down
 - ✓ non-native speakers \rightarrow bottom-up
- Instructional priority (Rogerson-Revell, 2011)
 - Segmental instruction: students who expect to have more opportunities to communication with non-native English speakers
 - Suprasegmental instruction : learners who are planning to study in English-speaking countries (e.g., the US or UK).



Focus of instruction—Instructional effectiveness

- The type of speech task (Saito, 2012)
 - ✓ Focus-on-form type of instruction
 - ✓ Focus-on-meaning type of instruction
- \rightarrow Cognitive resources (working memory)





Teachers' native language

- \checkmark Native speaking teachers: providing native speech sounds, evaluating intelligibility and comprehensibility of students' pronunciation
- \checkmark more intuitive \rightarrow young learners \swarrow



- ✓ Non-native speaking teachers: understanding or anticipating or detecting their students' problems, knowing how to address problems
- \checkmark procedural explanations \rightarrow adult learners



 \rightarrow Team-teaching



Cognitive link between visual and audio

- Importance of presenting visual information in teaching pronunciation (Hayashi & Sekiyama, 1998)
- Audio-visual training is more effective than audio training (Hazan, Sennema, Iba, & Faulkner, 2005)
- McGurk effect : multisensory illusion occurring with audiovisual speech (McGurk and MacDonald,1976)





Using films

- Large amount of exposure of authentic audio-visual information
- Speaker's mouth movement \rightarrow segmental features
- Language with context \rightarrow suprasegmental features
- More interesting and motivating materials (Kondo, 2009, 2021b)



Using songs

- Cognitive link between language and music
- Activity for Japanese EFL learners: 'Twinkle Twinkle Little Star' (Takahashi, et. al, 2020)



Activity for Japanese EFL learners: 'Twinkle Twinkle Little Star' (Takahashi, et. al, 2020)







Using computer software

• Ami Voice Call: diagnosis, visual feedback





AmiVoice[®] CALL https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ukPcRRhs48k



Using computer software

- Ami Voice Call: diagnosis, visual feedback
- Learners' motivation, autonomy and selfregulation



HE



Teaching framework (Celce-Murcia et al., 2010)

- Discourse-level instruction:
 - meaning-focused communication tasks in near-real communicative contexts
- Working memory to pay attention to their pronunciation







Teaching framework (Celce-Murcia et al., 2010)

Five stages

- (1) Description and analysis
- (2) Listening discrimination
- (3) Controlled practice
- (4) Guided practice
- (5) Communicative practice



The lesson plan for teaching <u>sentence</u> prominence



Target feature: **Sentence prominence** Material: Legally Blonde (2001)

- 1.Description and analysis
- 2.Listening discrimination
- 3.Controlled practice
- 4. Guided practice
- 5.Communicative practice

Legally Blonde (2001)



WARNER: Elle, one of the reasons I wanted to come here tonight was to discuss our future.

ELLE: And I'm fully amenable to that discussion.

WARNER: Good. You know we're having all kinds of fun lately. Well, Harvard is going to be different. Law school is a completely different world...and I need to be serious.

ELLE: Of course.

WARNER: I mean my family expects a lot from me. And I expect a lot from me. I plan on running for office some day.

ELLE: And I fully support that, Warner. You know that, right?

WARNER: Absolutely. But the thing is, if I'm going to be a senator by the time I'm thirty — I need to stop dicking around.

ELLE: Oh, Warner, I completely agree.

WARNER: That's why I think it's time for us to—Elle, pooh bear.

(ELLE: I do. / WARNER: I think we should break up.)

ELLE: What?

Luketic (2001). Legally Blonde [Film].

The lesson plan for teaching <u>sentence</u> prominence



1. Description and analysis

- Explain the rules or patterns of the target feature, sentence prominence
- Have them guess the sentence prominence without sound.

2. Listening discrimination

• Have them check the sentence prominence with sound.

3. Controlled practice

- Repeating/ shadowing practice
- Role play

The lesson plan for teaching sentence prominence



4. Guided practice

- Q and A about the dialogue
- ① Q. Why did Warner want to meet her?
 - A. He wanted to (discuss) his future, and (break) up with her.
- ② Q. Who expects a lot from Warner?
 - A. His (family) expects a lot from him, and (he) expects a lot from himself.
- Q. If he is going to be a senator, who does Warner need to marry?
 A. He needs to marry a person like (Jackie), not a (Marilyn).
- ④ Q. What did Elle expect Warner to do that night?
 - A. She thought he was (proposing) her.

5. Communicative practice

- Situation role play 1: Breaking up with your boy/girl friend.
- Situation role play 2: Proposing your boy/girl friend

Conclusion

Language teachers should ...

- understand that students' low performance is not always because of the lack of effort
- understand individual factors affecting their students' speech
- design their instructional methods accordingly.





Anderson-Hsieh, J., Johnson, R., & Koehler, K. (1992). The relationship between native speaker judgments of nonnative pronunciation and deviance in segmentals, prosody, and syllable structure. *Language Learning, 42*(4), 529-555.
 Carroll, J. B. (1981). Twenty-five years of research on foreign language

aptitude. *Individual differences and universals in language learning aptitude*, 83– 118.

Celce-Murcia, M., Brinton, D. M., Goodwin, J. M., & Griner, B. (2010). *Teaching pronunciation: A course book and reference guide (2nd ed.).* New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

Derwing, T. M., Munro, M. J., & Wiebe, G. (1997). Pronunciation instruction for fossilized learners. Can it help? *Applied Language Learning*, 8(2), 217–235.

Field, J. (2005). Intelligibility and the listener: The role of lexical stress. *TESOL Quarterly*, *39*(3), 399-423.

Flege, J. (2003). Assessing constraints on second-language segmental production and perception. In A. Meyer & N. Schiller (Eds.), *Phonetics and phonology in language comprehension and production, differences and similarities* (pp. 319– 355). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

Griffiths, T. D., Johnsrude, I., Dean, J. L., & Green, G. G. (1999). A common neural substrate for the analysis of pitch and duration pattern in segmented sound? *Neuroreport*, *10*(18), 3825–3830.

Hahn, L. D. (2004). Primary stress and intelligibility: Research to motivate the teaching of suprasegmentals. *TESOL Quarterly*, 38(2), 201–233.



Hayashi, Y., & Sekiyama, K. (Eds.). (1998). Native-foreign language effect in the McGurk effect: A test with Chinese and Japanese. Proceedings from AVSP '98: *International Conference on Auditory-Visual Speech Processing*. 61–66.

Hazan, V., Sennema, A., Iba, M., & Faulkner, A. (2005). Effect of audiovisual perceptual training on the perception and production of consonants by Japanese learners of English. *Speech Communication*, *47*(3), 360–378.

Hirayama, & Ishii, . (1989)

Hosomura, N. (2005). The "Parity and Disparity" approach to speech in second language learning: A dynamical systems perspective. *Toronto Working Papers in Linguistics, 25*, 103–113.

Hu, X., Ackermann, H., Martin, J. A., Erb, M., Winkler, S., & Reiterer, S. M. (2013).
Language aptitude for pronunciation in advanced second language (L2) learners:
Behavioural predictors and neural substrates. *Brain and Language*, *127*(3), 366–376.

Jenkins, J. (2000). *The phonology of English as an international language.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Kashiwagi, A., & Snyder, M. (2008). American and Japanese listener assessment of Japanese EFL speech: Pronunciation features affecting intelligibility. *The Journal of Asia_TEFL, 5*(4), 27-47.

Kashiwagi, A., & Snyder, M. (2014). Intelligibility of Japanese college freshmen as listened to by native and nonnative listeners. *JACET Journal, 58*, 39-56.

Kondo, A. (2009). The effects of film-based instruction on Japanese students' attitudes toward learning English. *Research Reports of Nara National College of Technology, 45,* 69-79.



Kondo, A. (2021a). The effects of individual differences in speaking skills of Japanese EFL Learners: Aptitude (phonological working memory) and attitude (L2 motivation). *Studies in Language Sciences: Journal of the Japanese Society for Language Sciences, 19*, 1-18.

Kondo, A. (2021b). Using One Feature Film to Enhance Japanese EFL Learners' Motivation. *Journal of Teaching English, 29*, 71-83.

Lenneberg, E. H. (1967). Biological foundations of language. New York: Wiley.

Luketic, R. (Director). (2001). Legally Blonde [Film]. Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer (MGM) and Marc Platt Productions.

McGurk, H., & MacDonald, J. (1976). Hearing lips and seeing voices. *Nature, 264*, 746-748.

Milovanov, R., Pietilä, P., Tervaniemi, M., & Esquef, P. (2010). Foreign language pronunciation skills and musical aptitude: A study of Finnish adults with higher education. *Learning and Individual Differences*, *20*(1), 56–60.

Mora, C. F. (2000). Foreign language acquisition and melody singing. *ELT Journal*, *54*(2), 146–152.

Murase, K. (1998). Onseigo no chigai ni yoru onshoku-chikaku no sa [in Japanese]. *Ongaku Jouhou Kagaku 2*4(14), 85-92.

Okamoto, M., Shitara, T., Momiyama, Y., Hirayama, M., & Ishii, T. (1989). Pure-tone hearing levels according to age. *Audiology Japan*, *32*, 81–86.

Radomski, M., & Szpyra-Kozłowska, J. (2014). A pilot study on Poles' attitudes to foreign-accented Polish and its users. *Studies in Polish Linguistics*, 9(2), 6787.
Rogerson-Revell, P. (2011). *English phonology and pronunciation teaching.* London and New York: Continuum.



Saito, K. (2012). Effects of instruction on L2 pronunciation development: A synthesis of 15 quasi-experimental intervention studies. *TESOL Quarterly*, *46*(4), 842–854.

Schön, D., Gordon, R., Campagne, A., Magne, C., Astésano, C., Anton, J.-L., & Besson, M. (2010). Similar cerebral networks in language, music and song perception. *NeuroImage*, *51*(1), 450–61.

Slevc, L. R., & Miyake, A. (2006). Individual differences in second-language proficiency: Does musical ability matter? *Psychological Science*, *17*(8), 675–81.

Szpyra-Kozłowska, J., & Radomski, M. (2012). The perception of English-accented polish–a pilot study. *Research in Language, 10*(1), 97-109.

Takahashi, S., Ikeda, K., Kobayashi, T., Takasaka, T., Ohyama, K., Wada, H. (1996). Effect of aging on distortion product otoacoustic emissions. *Nippon Jibiinkoka Gakkai Kaiho*, 99(7), 978-984,1073.

Takahashi, M., Yanagi, Y., & Yamauchi, Y. (2020). Eigo no uta wo katsuyou shita yomukoto to onintekikouzou no shidou [in Japanese]. Paper presented at 20th Annual convention of the Japan Association of English Teaching in Elementary Schools.

Tanaka, A., & Nakamura, K. (2004). Auditory memory and proficiency of second language speaking: A latent variable analysis approach. *Psychological Reports*, *95*(3), 723–734.

Tsuiki, T., Sasamori, S., Minami, Y., Ichinohe, T., Murai, K., Murai, S., & Kawashima, H. (2002). Age effect on hearing: A study on Japanese. *Audiology Japan*, *45*, 241–50.

Van den Doel, R. (2006). *How friendly are the natives? An evaluation of nativespeaker judgements of foreign-accented British and American English* (Doctoral dissertation).